ANIMAL RIGHTS STEALTH EXPOSED

HSUS, ASPCA, and PETA ramp up the offensive against animal breeders and pet owners through powerful politics, lobbyists, and government agency appointments.

Special Report by <u>Sonia Yearwood</u> August 1, 2012

The Humane Society of the United States (HSUS) stated goal requires the end to all breeding of animals, livestock as well as companion animals. That shocking agenda is shared by the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA), People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) and enabled by the Animal Legal Defense Fund (ALDF).

The ultimate irony is that animal rights groups use money solicited from animal lovers to accomplish their radical goal which has nothing to do with animal welfare or wildlife protection.

TV and print media is saturated with "sad puppy" and abused animal ads. Predictably, animal owners open their hearts and wallets, thereby supporting an agenda to insure they can never have another steak or purebred pet! As the best funded, the Humane Society of the U.S. seeks to impose their beliefs on the rest of the world. The avid AR (animal rights) population is estimated as about two percent worldwide and growing because donors are unaware of the political stealth used to control our lives.

A former HSUS attorney named Sarah L. Conant has managed to insert herself into an enforcement position at the United Sates Department of Agriculture (USDA)/Animal Plant Health Inspection Services (APHIS), an agency of USDA.

But Conant is just one of many radicals capable of changing our lives through control of the livestock that feed us and the pets that nourish our hearts. Cass Sunstein, a Harvard Law School professor, heads Obama's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as Regulatory Czar. Sunstein is an admitted Animal Rights activist devoted to establishing "rights" for livestock, wildlife and pets. [1] He's on record as saying animals should be able to file lawsuits against humans in American courts.

Even more disturbing, Sunstein, according to WND's Senior Staff reporter Aaron Klein has stated. "The interpretation of federal law should be made not by judges but by the beliefs and commitments of the U.S. President and those around him."

Animal Rights goals include: eliminate all animal agriculture; prohibit hunting, trapping and fishing; stop any further breeding of companion animals, including dogs and cats; abolish commerce in animals for pet trade; end the use of animals in entertainment and sports.

APHIS, a division of the USDA, has posted a Notice of Rulemaking that would revise the retail pet store definition. According to APHIS, the purpose is to modernize the Animal Welfare Act (AWA). The proposed rule will change the definition of retail pet store to include hobby breeders, meaning buyers must physically enter the seller's business, in this case their home, prior to purchasing a pet from them. The logistical and financial hardship imposed on both buyer and seller is incalculable but predicted to end the breeding of top quality dogs and cats.

How Can USDA/APHIS regulate both buyer and seller? Federal agencies and laws already regulate car sales, bank loans and credit cards, oil exploration, and how farmers grow and sell their crops. The list of regulations is non-ending and growing weekly according to political watchdog John Stossell.

You might ask what feeds the growth of government regulatory agencies? Good question. And logically you want to know, "how does it affect me as an animal breeder or even as a pet owner?"

The answer to the first question is simple. Power and money feed the growth of most government agencies. **Animal Rights Politicians** ^[2] are well supported by animal rights paid **lobbyists**. ^[3] The power is self-fulfilling. Power feeds power and the animal rights groups are well aware of that human weakness.

It affects you because pending Animal Rights legislation such as **CA 1939**^[4] would require breeders to do monthly sales reports, listing the private information they are required to collect from every buyer. Supposedly so they can verify the records. Think of the implications.

- 1 CASS SUNSTEIN EXPOSED, REGULATORY CZAR
- 2 ANIMAL RIGHTS POLITICIANS
- 3 HSUS LOBBYGATE
- 4 CA 1939 REPORTING REQUIREMENT